UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|----------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/806,416 | 03/23/2004 | Mitsuaki Hirokawa | Q80574 | 2263 | |
| 65565 SUGHRUE-265 | 7590 06/16/200 5 550 | 8 | EXAMINER | | |
| | LVANIA AVE. NW | | MENON, KRISHNAN S | | |
| WASHINGTO | N, DC 20037-3213 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | | 1797 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 06/16/2008 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|-----------------|----|--|--|--|
| Interview Summary | 10/806,416 | HIROKAWA ET AL. | L. | | | |
| interview Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Krishnan S. Menon | 1797 | | | | |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO | personnel): | | | | | |
| (1) <u>Krishnan S. Menon</u> . | (3) | | | | | |
| (2) <u>Yan Lan</u> . | (4) | | | | | |
| Date of Interview: <u>09 June 2008</u> . | | | | | | |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2 | ²)∏ applicant's representative | ;] | | | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: | e) No. | | | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> . | | | | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: | | | | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g |)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N | I/A. | | | | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant wanted to amend claim 1 to remove the 112 issue, and wanted to know if the amendment would be entered. Response: an after-final amendment would be intered only if it makes the application in copndition for allowance or reduce the number of issues for appeal. If the amendment adds new issues, it will not be entered, even if it otherwise reduces some issues. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. | | | | | | |
| | /Krishnan S Menon/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 17 Examiner's signature, if requi | | | | | |